| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|--------------------------------|---------------------------|
| | 10/714,845 | TAGUCHI ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Helene Klemanski | 1755 |
| All Participants: Status of Application: <u>pending</u> | | |
| (1) <u>Helene Klemanski</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) <u>Mr. L Raul Tamayo</u> . | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 4 January 2006 | Time: | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: None | | |
| Claims discussed: 10, 11, 16 and 17 | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: None | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Applicants agreed to the changes as set forth in the Exam. Amdt. to overcome objections to claims 10 and 11 and 112 rejections claims 16 and 17 to make application allowable. | | |
| Part III. | | |
| ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | |
| Tolone Hlenan | | |
| (Applicant | /Applicant's Representative Si | gnature – if appropriate) |